

REMARKS

The present amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed in the above-referenced case on October 26, 2006, made final. Claims 18, 20, 22, 24 and 25 are standing for examination. The Examiner has rejected claims 18, 20, 22 and 24-25 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeda (USP 6876632) hereinafter Takeda in view of Barker (WO 98/10573) hereinafter Barker in view of Reimann (USP 5892764) hereinafter Reimann. Claims 18, 20, 22 and 24-25 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tonnby (USP 6320857) hereinafter Tonnby in view of Smyk (WO 98/56133) hereinafter Smyk and further in view of Reimann.

In response to the Examiner's rejections and statements, applicant herein amends the claims to more particularly point out the innovative functions available at the user's end not taught or suggested in the art presented by the Examiner.

Applicant amends independent claims 18, 20, 22 and 24 to include the cooperating software on the user's Internet appliance presents each call as an icon wherein the icon becomes useable by action of a third party.

Applicant provides a system wherein the software instance on a user's PC may be manipulated by a third party. In applicant's invention a plurality of call centers may generate CTI scripts which are stored and distributed from a central server. A third party server (4015) may be utilized to intercept, analyze and test the CTI scripts used to control software and hardware for telephony systems. In this manner components of a user's software system, in this case displayable icons representing incoming communications may be edited by a third party testing the CTI scripts distributed and utilized by said software.

The Examiner relies upon the art of Reimann to teach the ability of a user GUI for manipulating icons. Applicant points out that the GUI of Reimann is a self contained software system and is not managed or altered by a third party server. Therefore, applicant argues that applicant's independent claims 18, 20, 22 and 24, as

amended are patentable over the art, as the art, either singly or combined fails to teach a third party having control over icons on a user's system. Dependent claim 25 is patentable on its own merits, or at least as depended from a patentable claim.

Applicant respectfully requests the application be reconsidered and passed quickly to issue. If there are any time extensions due beyond any extension requested and paid with this amendment, such extensions are hereby requested. If there are any fees due beyond any fees paid with the present amendment, such fees are authorized to be deducted from deposit account 50-0534.

Respectfully Submitted,
Yuri Shtivelman et al.

By /Donald R. Boys/
Donald R. Boys
Reg. No. 35,074

Central Coast Patent Agency, Inc.
3 Hangar Way, Suite D
Watsonville, CA 95076
831-768-1755